

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/996,128	HOUGHTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Marina Larson, Ph.D..

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 June 2007

Time: 5:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20-23, 29 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner notified Applicant's representative, Dr. Larson the amendment after final (including a declaration) submitted February 27, 2007 would be entered and the previous advisory action mailed May 31, 2007 would be vacated and a review for allowable subject matter would ensue. Consequently, the Examiner has carefully reviewed the claimed invention and reconsidered Applicant's last submission and has set forth another advisory action. .